BITEW

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400

Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 100201747-1

(HDP#6215-000130/US)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s):

Nicos A. VEKIARIDES

Confirmation No.: 4607

ommination No. 40

Application No.: 09/664,449

Examiner: Hussein El Chanti

, •

Group Art Unit:

2157

Filing Date:

Sept. 18, 2000

Title:

INTERNET PROTOCOL DATA MIRRORING

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

(X) Comments on the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

- (X) Letter Submitting FIFTH Request for Examiner-Initialed Forms PTO-1449, For October 30th Information Disclosure Statement
- (X) Letter Requesting Notification That Drawings Are Approved
- (X) Amendment After Allowance Under 37 C.F.R. 1.312

	CLAIR	IS AS AME	NDED BY OT	HER THAN A	SMALL	. ENTIT	Y		·	
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		(5) PRESENT EXTRA		(6) RATE		(7) ADDITIONAL FEES	
TOTAL CLAIMS	48	MINUS	48		п	0	х	\$50	\$	0
INDEP. CLAIMS	6	MINUS		6	=	0	×	\$200	\$	0
[] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM + \$360									\$	0
EXTENSIOI FEE	1ST MONTH \$120.00		MONTH 3RD MO 0.00 \$1020.0				4TH MONTH \$1590.00		\$	0
OTHER FEES									\$,
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT									\$	0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit: (HAND CARRY)

Typed Name:
Signature:

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas S. Auchterlonie

Nicos A. VEKIARIDES

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 37,275

Date: June 12, 2007

Telephone No.: (703) 668-8000

Rev 12/04 (TransAmd)
- Attach as First Page to Transmitted Papers -



MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

PATENT 100201747-1 (HDP#6215-000130/US)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Nicos A. VEKIARIDES

Conf:

4607

Appl. No.:

09/664,4**9**9

Group:

2157

Filed:

September 18, 2000

Examiner:

Hussein A. El Chanti

For:

INTERNET PROTOCOL DATA MIRRORING

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 **Mail Stop Issue Fee** June 12, 2007

COMMENTS ON THE EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

In reply to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance (hereafter, the Reasons), beginning on page 11 of the Notice of Allowability mailed April 11, 2005, Applicant submits the following comments.

Applicant does not disagree that the indicated claims are allowable. Instead, Applicant wishes to note the following.

The Reasons apply to all of the claims, i.e., to independent claims 1, 24, 32, 39, 48 and 49, and claims 2-23, 26-31, 33-38 and 40-47 dependent upon claims 1, 24, 32, 39, 48 and 49, respectively. But the actual wording of the Reasons paraphrases only the language of <u>some</u> of the elements of claim 1. While independent claims 24, 32, 39, 48 and 49 similarly are patentable, they are not identical to claim 1. Applicant

U.S. Application No. 09/664,499 Attorney Docket No. 100201747-1 (HDP#6215-000130/US)

presumes that it was not the Examiner's intention to suggest that claims 1, 24, 32, 39,

48 and 49 are the same. Further, Applicant submits that any attempt to characterize

the Examiner's wording as suggesting such sameness among claims 1, 24, 32, 39, 48

and 49 would be unreasonable. It is to be recalled that patentability considers each

claim as a whole, and each of claims 1-24 and 26-49 separately.

As to the Reasons having paraphrased only some of the elements of claim 1¹

without regard to the other recited elements, Applicant presumes that this merely

reflects imprecise wording on the part of the Examiner. Applicant further presumes

that it was the Examiner's intent to comment upon the noted elements as being parts

of a combination taken as a whole.

Lastly, Applicants submit that the Examiner's paraphrasing has been done as an

expedient for the purposes of calling to mind the explicit language of each claim taken

as a whole, rather than as an attempt to characterize the meaning of, or interpret, the

claims.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Thomas S. Auchterlonie, Reg. No. 37,275

P.O. Box 8910

Reston, Virginia 20195

(703) 668-8000

TSA/cm

¹ Again, Applicant presumes that the Examiner has paraphrased elements of only claim 1 because the Examiner regards claim 1 as exemplary of the other independent claims.

2